

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/605,473 10/01/2003 Allan McLane 239655 2472 EXAMINER 23460 7590 03/01/2006 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD FOX, JOHN C TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780 3753

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ
Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/605,473	MCLANE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John Fox	3753
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 January 2006</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>58-72</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>71 and 72</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>58-70</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3753

This action is responsive to the amendment filed January 9, 2006.

Applicant's representative is reminded of 37 CFR § 1.111:

(b) In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action. The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. If the reply is with respect to an application, a request may be made that objections or requirements as to form not necessary to further consideration of the claims be held in abeyance until allowable subject matter is indicated. The applicant's or patent owner's reply must appear throughout to be a bona fide attempt to advance the application or the reexamination proceeding to final action. A general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section.

(c) In amending in reply to a rejection of claims in an application or patent under reexamination, the applicant or patent owner must clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. The applicant or patent owner must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.

Applicant has resubmitted original claim 18 in independent form, without comment. This claim was rejected in the Office Action of December 17, 2004. Pursuant to MPEP 714.04 the following final rejection is made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 58-60, 63-64, and 67-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Burdick.

Art Unit: 3753

Burdick shows a rotary multiway valve with a pie shaped sector at 49. The recitations of inlet and outlet in these claims merely relate to intended use and are given no weight. The central bore of Burdick can be read as two bores extending halfway through the rotor.

Claims 58 and 61-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Petry.

Petry shows a valve where part 19 is pie-shaped and which includes an axial port, and uses biasing spring 64. The recitations of inlet and outlet in these claims merely relate to intended use and are given no weight.

Claims 58 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ehrhardt

Ehrhardt shows a rotary multiway valve in which the passageway at the axis of rotation has an axial extent and which uses a stepper motor 24 to actuate, see column 2, line 55. The recitations of inlet and outlet in these claims merely relate to intended use and are given no weight.

Claim 66 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehrhardt in view of Babin.

Ehrhardt shows the claimed valve except for reduction gearing, which Babin shows. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a reduction gearing as taught by Babin to increase the torque applied to the valve.

Claims 69-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ford et al in view of Burdick.

Ford et al shows a rotary multiway valve where the rotor is spaced from the housing and the valve includes flexible seals. The recitations of inlet and outlet in these claims merely relate to intended use and are given no weight. Burdick shows a valve with pie shaped 49. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used such a sector in the valve of Ford et al to increase the dwell time of fluid flow, for example.

Claims 71-72 are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,473 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912.

The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keasel Eric can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Johrl Fox Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753